



Sabina Corrado

Associate

scorrado@stradley.com

New York, NY: 212.404.0625

Sabina Corrado represents individuals and commercial clients in a variety of complex areas arising from business disputes at all stages of litigation. She is committed to advocating for the best outcome for her clients by utilizing an analytical, results-driven approach.

Sabina has experience handling matters involving unfair competition, insurance fraud, labor and employment disputes, contractual disputes, trademark disputes, fraudulent transfer, shareholder disputes and premise liability claims. She practices in state and federal court as well as in arbitration and mediation. She utilizes the tools of litigation in order to present the legal and factual issues of her case in a persuasive and effective manner.

Prior to joining Stradley Ronon, Sabina was an associate at a midsize law firm in New York. While in law school, she participated in a year-long clinic with the New York City Law Department in the Special Federal Litigation Division, where she defended the city in cases involving allegations of police misconduct. Additionally, Sabina served as a judicial intern in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Memberships

- Member, New York State Bar Association

Recognitions

- Rising Star, New York *Super Lawyers* (2022-25)

Languages

- Russian

Experience

Stradley Ronon Secures Summary Judgment Victory for Bank in Multimillion-Dollar Theft Case

After 10 years of litigation, a Stradley Ronon team has secured summary judgment on

Services

- Complex Commercial & Class Action Litigation
- Employment
- Litigation
- Trial Practice

Education

- Brooklyn Law School (J.D., *magna cum laude*)
- Hunter College (B.A.)

Admissions

- New York
- New Jersey
- U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York
- U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York

behalf of a bank in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in a complex case involving a multimillion-dollar burglary affecting a safe deposit box.

The plaintiff, an LLC formed solely to pursue this lawsuit, filed suit over the theft. Central to the dispute was the ownership of the box's contents and whether the LLC had standing to bring the claim. During discovery, the individuals who rented the box admitted they did not own the items inside and instead asserted they were holding the items for an unidentified third party.

In response, the bank argued the renters lacked the authority to transfer ownership or title to the contents of the box. Furthermore, the bank contended that the assignment on which the lawsuit was based was invalid, as it failed to convey ownership or title of the stolen contents.

On summary judgment, the bank raised defenses for lack of standing and an invalid assignment. The plaintiff opposed the motion and also sought leave to amend its complaint in an attempt to cure the standing deficiencies. The U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York ruled in favor of the bank, dismissing the case for lack of standing and denying the plaintiff's request to amend as untimely, prejudicial and futile.

On appeal, the Second Circuit upheld the district court's dismissal, bringing this long-running litigation to a close. The victory reinforces the importance of legal precision and the critical need to assert jurisdictional defenses at the inception of any case.

Eleventh Circuit Affirms Trademark Ruling for Nutritional Products Company

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit affirmed a lower court's decision on behalf of Stradley Ronon client Nutrition Resource Services, Inc. d/b/a JBN – Just Be Natural in a trademark infringement matter.

JBN manufactures and sells energy drinks and powders under various brand names, including "KRANK'D," which obtained a trademark registration in 2006. A competitor began selling a similar product under the brand name "KRANK3D" and proceeded to market the product to the same consumers as JBN's product.

Stradley Ronon filed preliminary injunction motion papers and argued the motion at an emergency hearing in February 2024. A Georgia federal court granted the injunction, and a three-judge panel affirmed the lower court's ruling.