



Adriel J. Garcia

Counsel

agarcia@stradley.com

Philadelphia, PA: 215.564.8022

Adriel Garcia is a skilled litigator and counselor well-versed in employment and labor law, complex commercial contract litigation, securities litigation and enforcement, and construction matters. He regularly represents public and private companies, education service providers, health systems, religious organizations and public entities of all sizes before various federal and state courts and agencies in arbitration proceedings and mediation.

Adriel has provided counsel in a wide array of employment and labor matters under various federal, state and local laws and regulations. He frequently advises employers on issues involving hiring, wage and hour compliance, policies and handbooks, accommodation requests, internal complaints and grievances, employee discipline and termination, reductions in force, union labor, drug testing, privacy, social media and other matters involving employees. He also conducts internal investigations of varying complexity, including allegations of discrimination, sexual harassment, hostile work environment, retaliation, policy violations, white collar crime and other workplace misconduct.

Adriel is also a member of the firm's E-Discovery Team, developing cost-effective strategies for the preservation, collection, analysis and production of electronically stored information (ESI), and responding to subpoenas and information requests from various federal and state agencies and self-regulatory organizations.

Memberships

- Member, Philadelphia Diversity Law Group
- Member, Stradley Ronon Inclusion Committee

Recognitions

- *The Best Lawyers in America* (Ones to Watch: Litigation - Securities) (2024-26)
- Emerging Lawyers, *AL DÍA* (2017)

Languages

- Spanish

Featured Speaking Engagements

Services

- E-Discovery Team
- Consumer Financial Services Litigation
- Securities & Regulatory Enforcement
- Litigation
- Employment
- Construction
- Financial Services Litigation & Enforcement
- Government Enforcement, Investigations & Litigation
- Trial Practice

Education

- Temple University Beasley School of Law (J.D.)
- Florida State University (B.S., *cum laude*)

Admissions

- Pennsylvania
- New Jersey
- District of Columbia

- Speaker, “A Candid Conversation with Hispanic Leaders,” Stradley Ronon

- Pennsylvania Supreme Court
- U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
- U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey

Experience

Stradley Ronon Represents 1st Colonial Bancorp in Acquisition by Mid Penn Bancorp

Stradley Ronon advised 1st Colonial Bancorp Inc., the parent company of Mount Laurel, New Jersey-based 1st Colonial Community Bank, in a merger agreement with Harrisburg, Pennsylvania-based Mid Penn Bancorp Inc. in which Mid Penn acquired 1st Colonial in a cash and stock transaction valued at approximately \$106.1 million. In connection with the holding company merger, 1st Colonial Community Bank was merged with and into Mid Penn’s subsidiary bank, Mid Penn Bank.

The transaction, which was completed on February 27, further expands Mid Penn’s presence in the Greater Philadelphia area and southern New Jersey.

Pennsylvania Supreme Court Rules Sureties Are Not Liable for Bad Faith

Stradley Ronon partner Patrick Kingsley and counsel Adriel Garcia secured a significant victory for surety companies in Pennsylvania. In *Eastern Steel Constructors v. International Fidelity Insurance*, 2026 WL 457805 (Pa. 2026), the Pennsylvania Supreme Court ruled that Pennsylvania’s insurance bad-faith statute does not apply to sureties or surety bonds. The subcontractor, Eastern Steel Constructors, had asserted a payment bond claim, which was denied in part. It argued a surety should be liable for bad faith under the statute because suretyship and insurance are essentially the same thing. Mr. Kingsley presented oral argument before the Supreme Court and convinced them otherwise.

The Supreme Court found the plain language of the statute only applies to “insurance policies” written by “insurers.” The court found that language “clearly and unambiguously does not encompass a surety bond.” The court was persuaded that insurance and suretyship were fundamentally different and had been recognized as different for quite some time, citing the 1996 Pennsylvania Supreme Court case *Foster v. Mutual Fire, Marine and Inland Insurance*, which itself quotes the 1962 U.S. Supreme Court case *Pearlman v. Reliance Insurance*. As a result, the court concluded that “the General Assembly did not intend to subject surety bonds to the bad faith statute.”

Until *Eastern Steel Constructors*, there had not been a published Pennsylvania appellate court decision on the applicability of the bad-faith statute to sureties. This historic ruling from Pennsylvania’s highest court resolves the issue in Pennsylvania once and for all.

Mr. Kingsley previously succeeded in reversing the law in New Jersey regarding the applicability of bad-faith claims to sureties. In the 2010 case of *SBW v. Ernest Bock & Sons*, the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey rejected the holding in the 2000 case of *U.S. ex rel. Don Siegel Construction v. Atul Construction*, which recognized such a bad-faith cause of action against sureties. The *SBW* case has since been cited several times with approval, marking a reversal in New Jersey law.

Publications

Old traditions, new words: reflecting on Hispanic history and an evolving terminology

Reuters Legal News

October 12, 2022